

JUN 28 2007

FLETCHER YODER

A Professional Corporation

Attorneys at Law

7915 FM 1960 West, Suite 330
Houston, Texas 77070Post Office Box 692289
Houston, Texas 77269-2289Telephone (281) 970-4545
Facsimile (281) 970-4503

Facsimile Transmission

DATE: June 28, 2007	FILE: NUHP:0240
TO: Chelcie L. Daye Group Art Unit: 2161	COMPANY: Patent & Trademark Office PCT Receiving Office
FROM: W. Allen Powell	E:MAIL: powell@fyiplaw.com
FACSIMILE NUMBER: (571) 273- 8300	NUMBER OF PAGES TO FOLLOW: 1

URGENT, PLEASE DELIVER IMMEDIATELY

NOTES/COMMENTS:

Subject: U.S. Serial No. 10/814,579; filed March 31, 2004.
Meeting AgendaCONFIDENTIALITY NOTE

The documents accompanying this facsimile transmission contain information from the law firm of Fletcher Yoder which is confidential or privileged. The information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named on this transmission sheet. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this facsimile information is prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify us by telephone immediately so that we can arrange for the retrieval of the original documents at no cost to you.

JUN 28 2007

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:
Tal Drory et al.

Serial No.: 10/814,579

Filed: March 31, 2004

For: METHOD AND APPARATUS
FOR QUERYING SPATIAL
DATA§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Group Art Unit: 2161

Examiner: Daye, Chelcie L.

Atty Docket: 200315226-1/BLT/POW
NUHP:0240

Examiner Daye,

As requested, I am submitting a meeting agenda for a potential meeting on Monday (July 2) or Tuesday (July 3) of next week before you go on vacation. As we discussed, please let me know if you will be available either of those days after you discuss it with your supervisor. The proposed agenda is set forth below:


MEETING AGENDA:**35 U.S.C. § 103 Rejection (see pages 2-7 of Final Office Action)**

Applicants will likely reassert previous arguments. Discuss potential clarifying amendment.

Response to Arguments (see page 9 of Final Office Action)

Applicants will argue that Column 7, lines 50-64 of Wang et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,920,446) merely discloses a range of blocks (i.e., merged z-regions) and an associated z-code (i.e., values associated with the range of blocks). It does not disclose a *scan range* for *each* of a plurality of values *converted from a query window*.

Thank you,

Date: June 28, 2007W. Allen Powell
Reg. No. 56,743
(281) 970-4545